



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,971	07/26/2001	Phyllis A. Ellendman	10007711-I	8593

7590 06/28/2004

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

ROSWELL, MICHAEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2173

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/916,971	ELLENDMAN, PHYLLIS A.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael Roswell	2173	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20030130</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                                  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meister et al (U.S. Patent 6,671,718), hereinafter Meister.

Regarding claim 1, Meister teaches the preparation for sending an e-mail message, taught as the composing of a message and request for sending a message, at col. 3, lines 13-17. Furthermore, Meister teaches removing from addresses specified in a "To" field, any addresses within a field used to specify addresses to be removed from the "To" field, taught as the use of a modify operation which presents to the user addresses which may be selectively removed from the composed message, at col. 5, lines 17-21. It is inherent the modification operation displays a specified address in a display object such as a dialog box, which are known to contain fields, allowing the user to selectively remove an address or addresses. Meister also teaches the sending of the e-mail message, at col. 3, lines 40-42.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 6, and 7, Meister teaches modifying all addresses with one modify command scrolling through all displayed addresses, and thus allows for the removal of addresses specified in a "Cc" field, a "Bcc" field, and all fields.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, it can be seen in Fig. 3 that the system of Meister allows for the mailing to, and hence removal of, group lists from a composed message address list, as well as individual addresses.

Regarding claim 8, Meister teaches the preparation for sending an e-mail message, taught as the composing of a message and request for sending a message, at col. 3, lines 13-17. Furthermore, Meister teaches removing from addresses specified in a "To" field, any addresses within a field used to specify addresses to be removed from the "To" field, taught as the use of a modify operation which presents to the user addresses which may be selectively removed from the composed message, at col. 5, lines 17-21. Meister also teaches modifying all addresses with one modify command, and thus allows for the removal of addresses specified in a "Cc" field, a "Bcc" field, and all fields. It is inherent the modification operation displays a specified address in a display object such as a dialog box, which are known to contain fields, allowing the user to selectively remove an address or addresses. Meister also teaches the sending of the e-mail message, at col. 3, lines 40-42.

Regarding claims 9-14, Meister shows in Fig. 2 a "To" field for specifying addresses to be sent to, a "Cc" field for sending a carbon copy, and a "Bcc" field for sending a blind copy of the message. Furthermore, Meister teaches modifying all addresses with one modify command, and thus allows for the removal of addresses specified in a "To" field, "Cc" field, a "Bcc" field, and all fields.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art pertains to the controlling of e-mail "picklists" and the state of the art in general.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Roswell whose telephone number is (703) 305-5914. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Roswell  
6/24/2004



CAO (KEVIN) NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER